

## Stephen Cauffman

---

**From:** wtc@nist.gov  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 2:51 PM  
**To:** Stephen Cauffman  
**Subject:** Fwd: Is NIST is following the same pathway as US economy?

>X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.3  
>DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;  
> d=gmail.com; s=gamma;  
> h=domainkey-signature:received:received:message-id:date:user-agent  
> :mime-version:to:subject:x-enigmail-version:content-type  
> :content-transfer-encoding:from;  
> bh=M9XO369lqHglARgtnMRbm+/8J4LYRn/AEehPpO4YVJI=;  
> b=Pu/LFS7pxEqdX/CcFBu0kG17PkotBlc7ErCkd+OuFdBSHSQ1SHmnogGs7sOsWfKxvE  
> 2dg7oyEfJKvD30z6c+JWWUTRqoqjheJFyDaMrgnvq6Pr/RMpxic0oPs2vxvYsEzaMe97  
> TIQjaoeNNhna6/51trDNaEWjcd2TbYPctvbu0=  
>DomainKey-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=noFWS;  
> d=gmail.com; s=gamma;  
> h=message-id:date:user-agent:mime-version:to:subject  
> :x-enigmail-version:content-type:content-transfer-encoding:from;  
> b=QJhgfnncHz/fpeQbbUjSWZYG45PD1TRgHE7EvRhNX1GUpPY72ZrmT5ADsxxhWctrfhQ  
> 2oXpkmXmZeava7wS4my+ZYISi7r+d5dOZZWhznuxhPOBGXh8K01t1VGFYIkMn1VhW6mT  
> Kfu3dm1gUCpVCLMnUx6m5JnSgRHADxMroPabk=  
>Date: Fri, 29 Aug 2008 11:40:42 +0800  
>User-Agent: Thunderbird 2.0.0.16 (Windows/20080708)  
>To: wtc@nist.gov  
>Subject: Is NIST is following the same pathway as US economy?  
>X-Enigmail-Version: 0.95.6  
>From: Kevin Jaeger <jetechwa@gmail.com>  
>X-Proofpoint-Virus-Version: vendor=fsecure  
>engine=1.12.7160:2.4.4,1.2.40,4.0.166  
>definitions=2008-08-29\_04:2008-08-28,2008-08-29,2008-08-28 signatures=0  
>X-PP-SpamDetails: rule=spampolicy1\_notspam policy=spampolicy1 score=0  
>spamscore=0 ipscore=0 phishscore=0 bulkscore=0 adultscore=0  
>classifier=spam adjust=0 reason=mlx engine=5.0.0-0805090000  
>definitions=main-0808280201  
>X-PP-SpamScore: 0  
>X-NIST-MailScanner: Found to be clean  
>X-NIST-MailScanner-From: jetechwa@gmail.com  
>X-NIST-MailScanner-Information:  
>  
> From the desk of Kevin Jaeger [engineering] :-> Western Australia.  
>  
>  
>Hello NIST,  
>  
>  
>I am writing at your request for comments. The immediate questions that  
>come to mind are:  
>1. Is NIST following the same pathway as US economy and US banks?  
>2. Has NIST become the technical propaganda arm and distribution  
>center for those who were really guilty for executing 911?  
>  
>There is no time/space here to prove your conclusions are incorrect and  
>your method of analysis was inappropriate, so it was quite impossible

>for you to arrive at correct conclusions. I rarely if ever come out and  
>directly state that any individual or group of individuals is plain  
>wrong, but in this case NIST is plain wrong.

>

>Within my profession up until this point, I have regularly quoted NIST  
>standards along with our own local standards when writing a  
>specification. I was proud of the technical excellence associated with  
>NIST and the fact that we could meet these high standards.

>

>The practice of quoting NIST has ended, I don't need to quote NIST and  
>in any case NIST is no longer trustworthy and has changed its direction  
>and its purpose of being, NIST has been caught up in politics which  
>have directed analysis methodologies rather than use the best  
>technology and science available.

>

>Please allow me to demonstrate a horizontal version of the Twin Towers  
>collapse and if you don't want to watch the whole video, please scroll  
>along to the 3 minute mark where the action starts.  
>The video is taken in outback Western Australia.  
><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nR1W0OEo7ig>

>

>I realize the Twin Towers are not building #7, but please humor me just  
>for a few lines.

>

>As soon as I saw the Twin Towers collapse, I knew immediately that the  
>official spin was a concocted lie. I was viewing a vertical version of  
>an horizontal experience that I have witnessed 100s of times.

>

>The Twin Towers collapsed UP and OUT, now that defies the laws of  
>physics and of nature. Most all of the concrete within these buildings  
>was pulverized into particle sizes that resemble powder.  
>How many PSI is required to powder the concrete from its reinforcing,  
>before being deposited in the river and surrounding streets? The world  
>is not stupid.

>

>As these towers fell I could see the charges going off and the  
>individual jets within the smoky crown, just like I can see the charges  
>going off in the above video. Huge pieces of outer skin and columns  
>were ripped to shreds, then blown up and out through the atmosphere  
>over great distances. There is a case where a huge piece of column  
>traveled a great distance like a huge spear and was embedded in a  
>surrounding building. This was not an action cause by gravity.

>

>A slightly different approach was used to bring down building #7.  
>Work by professor Steven Jones best describes how building #7 was  
>brought down and I am not even going to go into that as this venue is  
>just for comments.

>

>If I were running NIST would I have buckled under the pressure to  
>invent new science that reverses well known and understood principals  
>that are governed by the Laws of Nature? I don't think so.

>

>We are really scraping the bottom of the barrel now, when NIST starts  
>with a political conclusion and then makes the science fit. I did not  
>think that I would ever see the day that NIST would throw its own  
>principals out the door.

>

>Kind Regards,

>Kevin